

## UPDATE SHEET

### PLANNING COMMITTEE – 4<sup>th</sup> September 2018

#### To be read in conjunction with the Report of the Planning and Development Team Manager to Planning Committee

#### This list sets out: -

- (a) Additional information received after the publication of the main reports;
- (b) Amendments to Conditions;
- (c) Changes to Recommendations

#### MAIN REPORT

**A1      18/01056/FUL      Land North Of Grange Road Hugglescote**

#### Additional information received:

Further to issues raised by the ward member relating to the need to expand the Hugglescote doctors' surgery, the applicant advises that, at the time that the outline planning application for the wider South East Coalville site was considered, the impacts on healthcare provision in the local area was assessed. Whilst the results of this assessment showed that the expansion of facilities at Hugglescote Surgery (in particular) was not required, it was agreed through a Section 106 Agreement that a financial contribution of £276,931 would be paid for the improvement of primary healthcare facilities within the area. The applicant also confirms that it has been in discussions over recent months with the Parish and District Councils regarding the possibility of increasing the existing car parking provision at Hugglescote Surgery, but stresses that approval of the current application for the vehicular access would not prejudice those ongoing discussions.

Councillor Johnson has asked for the following to be brought to the attention of the Planning Committee:

*“On the request of DWH Mr. Will Hodgson who wrote to me on the 28th August which I didn't pick up till the 30th requesting a meeting with the Hugglescote and Donington le Heath Parish Council to discuss the parish's objections to their application, I arranged that meeting this evening Monday 3rd September, I informed DWH directly that I and the Parish Council were dismayed*

*that they had had ample opportunity to engage with the Parish and in leaving it so late in the day to come to have a chat, they did apologise on this point.*

*The PC as a collective had an open and frank discussion with the developer and they did take on board the relevant points that were raised.”*

**RECOMMENDATION: NO CHANGE TO RECOMMENDATION**